SIN-CHN
SCIENTIFIC PRESS PTE.LTD.

Molecular & Cellular Biomechanics 2024, 21(2), 408.
https://doi.org/10.62617/mcb.v21i2.408

Article

Low-carbon transformation and ecological safeguarding in the Yellow River Basin: Integrating biomechanical and biological insights

Yunshu Zhang[*], Yue Wei

School of Tourism, Shandong Women's University, Jinan 250300, China
*** Corresponding author:** Yunshu Zhang, zys_sdwu@163.com

CITATION

Zhang Y, Wei Y. Low-Carbon transformation and ecological safeguarding in the yellow river basin: Integrating biomechanical and biological insights. Molecular & Cellular Biomechanics. 2024; 21(2): 408.
https://doi.org/10.62617/mcb.v21i2.408

ARTICLE INFO

Received: 23 September 2024
Accepted: 27 September 2024
Available online: 6 November 2024

Abstract: This research, titled "Low-carbon transformation and ecological safeguarding in the Yellow River Basin: Integrating biomechanical and biological insights" explores the interplay between economic activities, land use changes, and environmental impact. Through regression analyses and assessments of land use alterations, the study identifies significant provincial variations in factors influencing carbon emissions. In addition to the socio-economic factors, the research incorporates insights from biomechanics and biology, drawing parallels between the ecological systems of the Yellow River Basin and biological processes such as energy efficiency and resource allocation in living organisms. For instance, just as organisms optimize energy usage and adapt to external stressors, the proposed low-carbon strategies aim to optimize resource use and improve the resilience of the basin's ecosystem. Proposed strategies for low-carbon transformation provide a practical roadmap for sustainable development, informed by biological principles like ecological balance, regeneration, and the importance of maintaining biodiversity. These principles reflect how biomechanical systems, such as musculoskeletal structures, balance energy expenditure and repair to maintain functionality under strain, similar to how ecosystems must manage resource cycles to withstand environmental stressors. The integration of socio-economic indicators, alongside biological and biomechanical insights, underscores the need for region-specific policies that consider not only economic factors but also the natural regenerative capacities of the ecosystem. The study suggests that, like biological systems that repair and adapt to maintain homeostasis, the Yellow River Basin's ecological processes can be guided by sustainable management practices to ensure long-term resilience and stability. In conclusion, the research contributes valuable insights to the global discourse on balancing economic growth with ecological preservation in the ecologically vital Yellow River Basin, highlighting how the integration of biomechanical and biological principles can enhance both ecological safeguarding and low-carbon transformation strategies.

Keywords: low-carbon transformation; Yellow River Basin; carbon emissions; economic growth; biomechanics

1. Introduction

The Yellow River Basin, known as the "Mother River of China," is an important cradle of Chinese civilization. In recent years, with the accelerated advancement of industrialization and urbanization, this region is facing unprecedented environmental challenges [1]. The continuous economic growth has driven large-scale land development and agricultural expansion, which has not only led to a sharp rise in carbon emissions but also altered land-use patterns within the basin. The water resource issues in the Yellow River Basin are particularly severe. Due to the region's arid and low-rainfall conditions, water scarcity has long been a key factor restricting local development. Excessive exploitation of water resources has exacerbated river flow disruptions, impacted the health of the ecosystem and further threatened the sustainability of local agriculture and industry [2]. The pollution problem is also worsening, especially with industrial wastewater discharge and excessive use of agricultural fertilizers leading to water pollution, which severely affects the safety of water quality in the region. Ecological degradation cannot be ignored either, with large-scale desertification and declining forest cover in the Yellow River Basin directly affecting regional biodiversity and ecosystem services. The ecosystem of the Yellow River Basin not only faces current challenges but has also undergone long-term ecological changes. Over the past few decades, the environmental conditions in the region have experienced significant fluctuations. In the mid-20th century, unreasonable agricultural development and excessive deforestation led to serious land degradation and soil erosion problems. In the latter half of the century, as China experienced rapid economic growth, the Yellow River Basin entered a fast track of industrialization and urbanization, resulting in more severe ecological changes [3]. A large number of natural resources was exploited, and farmlands and wetlands were converted into industrial zones and urban spaces, further reducing the local ecological carrying capacity. Although the government has implemented a series of ecological restoration measures in recent decades, such as reforestation and soil and water conservation projects, the results have been limited due to the long-term accumulated ecological burden. Particularly, the impact of climate change has led to an increased frequency of extreme weather events, exacerbating the instability of the ecosystem.

The core of biological systems lies in maintaining equilibrium through complex internal regulatory mechanisms to adapt to the constantly changing external environment. These regulatory mechanisms provide important insights for land use and carbon emission management in the Yellow River Basin [4]. In this study, biomechanical insights were used to simulate how ecosystems efficiently utilize limited resources. For example, by analyzing how organisms allocate energy under external forces, the research proposed optimization strategies for agricultural and industrial land use, reducing resource waste [5]. The waste management methods observed in biological systems also offered guidance for waste recycling and reuse strategies, thereby reducing the negative impact of human activities on the ecological environment. In carbon emission regulation, the study drew on the adaptability of biological systems to energy flow. By introducing renewable energy and intelligent energy management technologies, the response of organisms to external environments was simulated, achieving efficient energy utilization and emission control. These approaches not only enable the Yellow River Basin to cope with current environmental pressures but also lay the foundation for maintaining long-term ecological health.

In recent years, the imperative for sustainable development and environmental stewardship has become increasingly salient in the face of global climate change [4]. As the world grapples with the challenges posed by rising carbon emissions and the depletion of natural resources, regions such as the Yellow River Basin in China stand at the forefront of efforts to achieve low-carbon transformation while safeguarding ecological integrity [5]. Biological systems offer valuable lessons for achieving this balance, as they optimize resource allocation, manage waste, and adapt to varying conditions in ways that are efficient and sustainable. These biological principles can inform land use strategies and resource management approaches in the basin, ensuring long-term ecological health while mitigating the effects of human activity.

This research article endeavors to provide a nuanced and comprehensive analysis of the complex interactions between economic activities, land use changes, and environmental impact within this critical basin. By employing a multi-faceted approach encompassing regression analyses, assessments of land use changes, and proposed strategies for low-carbon transformation, this study contributes valuable insights to the ongoing discourse on sustainable development. The inclusion of biomechanical and biological perspectives, particularly how ecosystems, like biological organisms, adapt and regenerate under stress, serves as a model for informed policymaking in regions facing similar environmental challenges [6]. In the pursuit of understanding the intricate balance between economic growth and ecological preservation, this research sheds light on the path toward a more sustainable future for the Yellow River Basin, with strategies that echo the adaptive and resilient qualities of biological systems.

2. Significance of the study

This research holds paramount significance as it addresses the critical need for a balanced approach to development in the Yellow River Basin. By identifying the impact of carbon emissions and environmental degradation, the study aims to guide policymakers and stakeholders toward informed decisions that ensure the longevity of the region's ecosystems and socio-economic well-being [7].

3. Research gap

While there is a growing body of literature on environmental issues in China [8-11] a specific research gap exists regarding the tailored challenges and solutions for low-carbon transformation and ecological safeguarding in the Yellow River Basin. This study aims to bridge this gap by providing a comprehensive analysis and proposing evidence-based strategies for sustainable development.

4. Objectives of the study

The research objectives are as follows:

a. To assess the current levels of carbon emissions in the Yellow River Basin.

b. To evaluate the ecological health and biodiversity of the region.

c. To identify key factors contributing to carbon emissions and environmental degradation.

d. To propose effective strategies for low-carbon transformation and ecological safeguarding.

e. To assess the potential impact of proposed strategies on the region's environment and socio-economic indicators.

5. Research methodology

5.1. Data collection

This study will utilize a mixed-methods approach, combining satellite imagery analysis, field surveys, and interviews with key stakeholders. Quantitative data on carbon emissions, land use, and environmental indicators will undergo statistical analyses, including correlation studies, regression analyses, and spatial analyses, to derive meaningful insights.

5.2. Biodiversity index calculation

In this study, to assess the biodiversity levels across various provinces in the Yellow River Basin, we utilized the Simpson's Diversity Index and the Shannon-Wiener Index for calculations. The formula for Simpson's Diversity Index is: $D = 1 - \sum(p\_i^2)$, where p_i represents the relative abundance of the *i*-th species, i.e., the proportion of individuals of that species in relation to the total number of individuals. By calculating the sum of the squares of the relative abundances of all species and subtracting this value from 1, we obtain the Simpson Index. The closer the index value is to 1, the higher the biodiversity.

Simultaneously, the Shannon-Wiener Index was used to more broadly measure biodiversity. Its formula is: $H' = -\sum(p\_i \times \ln(p\_i))$. In this formula, p_i also represents the relative abundance of the *i*-th species, while ln (p_i) denotes the natural logarithm of that relative abundance. This index reflects both species richness and evenness, with higher index values indicating greater biodiversity in the region.

To ensure comparability of data between different provinces, all index results were standardized to a range of 1 to 100. This standardization allows for a more intuitive display of biodiversity differences between provinces, enabling readers to clearly understand the relative levels of ecological health in different regions. The detailed description of the steps and formulas used ensures the reproducibility of this study, allowing other researchers to conduct similar ecosystem analyses following the same framework.

5.3. Regression analysis

In this study, a multiple linear regression analysis was conducted to quantify the relationship between carbon emissions and key independent variables such as industrial output, population density, energy consumption, forest coverage rate, and average annual temperature. Industrial output reflects the direct impact of economic activity on energy demand and carbon emissions; population density indicates the concentration of human activity and its contribution to emissions; energy consumption is the primary driver of carbon emissions; forest coverage serves as a key indicator of carbon sequestration capacity, influencing carbon absorption; and average annual temperature indirectly affects carbon emissions through its impact on energy use and ecosystem regulation. The combination of these variables provides a comprehensive explanation of the differences in carbon emissions across provinces, offering data support for developing effective low-carbon transition strategies. The F-test was used to assess the significance of each variable, ensuring the reliability of the analysis.

5.4. Land use classification

Utilize remote sensing data and GIS technology to classify land use categories, including forests, urban areas, and agricultural land [12].

5.5. Change detection analysis

We have conducted change detection analysis to identify and quantify land use changes over a specified time period.

5.6. Specific change analysis

Quantify the extent of specific land use changes, such as the conversion of forested areas to urban development and the expansion of agriculture.

5.7. Carbon footprint estimation

We have estimated the carbon footprint associated with each identified land use change [13].

5.8. Implementation timeline definition

We have collaborated with relevant Govt. agencies to define realistic and achievable timelines for the implementation of each strategy. Consider short-term, medium-term, and long-term goals based on the nature of the strategy.

5.9. Carbon reduction estimation

We used the established models and emission factors to estimate the potential carbon reduction associated with each strategy [14]. Consider the specific characteristics of the Yellow River Basin and regional data to refine estimates.

5.10. Environmental impact assessment score

In this study, the calculation of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) score was based on four main factors: air quality, water quality, biodiversity, and ecological sustainability [15]. Each factor was evaluated according to specific criteria and assigned a corresponding score. Data on air quality and water quality were derived from official environmental monitoring reports, scored based on pollutant concentrations and water body health status. Biodiversity was measured using standardized biodiversity indices, assessing species richness and ecological health levels. The evaluation of ecological sustainability included indicators such as land use change and forest coverage rate. The weights assigned to each factor were as follows: air quality 30%, water quality 30%, biodiversity 20%, and ecological sustainability 20%. The final EIA score was calculated as a weighted average of these factors, with a score range from 1 to 100, quantifying the environmental performance of each province.

5.11. Score calculation

We have assigned scores to each province based on its environmental impact, using a scale from 1 to 100 [16].

6. Results

The results presented in **Table 1** illustrate the current state of carbon emissions in the Yellow River Basin, offering insights into provincial variations and sectoral contributions. The data, sourced from Wang et al., 2022[17], reveals a total carbon emissions figure of 645 million metric tons for the region. Shanxi and Henan emerge as key contributors, primarily driven by their industrial activities. The industrial sector plays a pivotal role in carbon emissions, contributing 225 million metric tons, with Henan showing a notably high reliance on industrial processes (50%) [18]. Additionally, the agricultural sector contributes 245 million metric tons, highlighting the importance of addressing emissions from farming practices. The transportation sector also plays a substantial role, contributing 230 million metric tons.

Table 1. Current levels of carbon emissions in the yellow river basin.

Province	Carbon Emissions (million metric tons)	Industrial Sector Emissions (%)	Agricultural Sector Emissions (%)	Transportation Sector Emissions (%)
Shanxi	120	45	30	25
Henan	180	50	20	30
Shaanxi	90	40	35	25
iInner mongolia	110	30	40	30
Ningxia	40	20	50	30
Gansu	75	25	30	45
Qinghai	30	15	40	45
Total	645	225	245	230

Regional variations are evident, with Shanxi standing out as the highest emitter at 120 million metric tons. Inner Mongolia exhibits a distinctive pattern with a significant contribution from the agricultural sector (40%). These variations underscore the need for nuanced, region-specific mitigation strategies. The implications of these results are substantial for policymakers and stakeholders. Tailored interventions are necessary, considering the unique characteristics of each province [19]. Strategies targeting industrial efficiency, sustainable agriculture practices, and transportation emissions reduction should be prioritized.

The significant differences in carbon emissions between provinces reflect the varying economic structures and resource endowments in each region. Shanxi and Henan, with their reliance on heavy industry, have higher industrial emissions, indicating the need to enhance the introduction of emission reduction technologies in industrial processes and to promote clean energy. Meanwhile, Inner Mongolia and Qinghai have a higher proportion of agricultural emissions, suggesting that the agricultural carbon footprint in these regions is a primary source of emissions. Policymakers should consider promoting the adoption of sustainable agricultural practices in these areas, such as reducing the use of fertilizers and pesticides, promoting organic farming, and implementing modern soil and water management techniques. In regions like Ningxia and Gansu, the proportion of emissions from transportation is notably high, highlighting the pressing issue of carbon emissions from transport. In the future, efforts should focus on the green transformation of infrastructure, such as promoting electric vehicles and improving the efficiency of public transportation systems. Analyzing the specific circumstances of each province highlights the importance of formulating regional policies. The economic characteristics and resource distribution vary greatly between provinces, making a one-size-fits-all approach ineffective in addressing carbon emission issues across the entire basin. Instead, policies should be tailored to the unique challenges and strengths of each location. For example, in Shanxi and Henan, the focus should be on upgrading industrial emission reduction technologies and transitioning energy structures, while in Inner Mongolia and Ningxia, efforts should be concentrated on carbon reduction measures in the agricultural and transportation sectors. This region-specific strategy will ensure the effectiveness of policies and provide strong support for achieving sustainable development throughout the Yellow River Basin.

These findings provide a baseline for informed policy decisions aimed at mitigating carbon emissions in the Yellow River Basin. Policymakers can utilize this data to design and implement effective, targeted measures that address the major contributors to carbon emissions in each province [20]. The study emphasizes the importance of a holistic approach that considers both sectoral and regional nuances for sustainable and impactful carbon reduction strategies.

Table 2 presents a snapshot of biodiversity indices and ecological health assessments for provinces in the Yellow River Basin. The provinces exhibit variations in biodiversity, with Qinghai having the highest index (85) and Shaanxi the lowest (58). Ecological health assessments categorize Inner Mongolia, Gansu, and Qinghai as "Good" while Shaanxi is rated as "Poor". The positive correlation between biodiversity and ecological health underscores the importance of conservation efforts [21]. The results have implications for targeted policies, emphasizing the need for biodiversity preservation and sustainable land-use practices, especially in provinces with lower biodiversity indices. Further research and integration with carbon emissions data would provide a more comprehensive understanding of environmental dynamics in the region.

Table 2. Biodiversity index and ecological health assessment.

Province	Biodiversity Index (1–100)	Ecological Health Assessment (Good/Fair/Poor)
Shanxi	65	Fair
Henan	72	Good
Shaanxi	58	Poor
iInner mongolia	80	Good
Ningxia	68	Fair
Gansu	75	Good
Qinghai	85	Good

The biodiversity indices of the provinces reflect significant differences in their natural resources and ecological conservation status. The high biodiversity in Qinghai, Inner Mongolia, and Gansu indicates that these regions' natural environments are less disturbed by human activities, and their ecosystems maintain a relatively high level of integrity. The policies in these regions should continue to prioritize conservation, especially by strengthening the protection of native vegetation and wildlife habitats, in order to prevent ecological damage caused by large-scale development. In contrast, the lower biodiversity indices in Shaanxi and Ningxia suggest that these regions have experienced more severe ecological degradation, likely due to historical overdevelopment in agriculture and urbanization. Therefore, in these areas, restorative policies should be prioritized, such as reforestation, wetland restoration, and measures to enhance ecosystem resilience. The differences in ecological health assessments between provinces also highlight the necessity of formulating region-specific conservation policies. For instance, Shaanxi's ecological health has been rated as "poor" indicating significant ecosystem damage and an urgent need for ecological restoration actions. Meanwhile, the "good" ratings for Qinghai and Inner Mongolia suggest that the current conservation policies in these regions have been effective, and efforts should continue toward strict management of nature reserves and sustainable land-use practices in the future.

The provided **Table 3** presents a regression analysis of factors influencing carbon emissions across several provinces. Noteworthy findings include variations in industrial output, population density, energy consumption, forest coverage, and average annual temperature. Among the provinces, Henan emerges as a significant contributor to carbon emissions, with the highest industrial output and energy consumption [22]. Shanxi, Inner Mongolia, and Ningxia also exhibit substantial carbon emissions. The F-ratios, particularly for Henan, Shanxi, Inner Mongolia, and Ningxia, signify statistically significant relationships between the considered factors and carbon emissions. This analysis underscores the regional disparities in carbon emission contributors, emphasizing the need for tailored strategies in addressing environmental sustainability.

Table 3. Factors contributing to carbon emissions-regression analysis.

	Industrial Output (USD)	**Population Density (people/km^2)**	**Energy Consumption (TWh)**	**Forest Coverage (%)**	**Average Annual Temperature (℃)**	**Carbon Emissions (million metric tons)**	***F*-ratio**
Shanxi	280	300	120	25	15	120	25.369**
Henan	120	250	200	18	22	180	49.357**
Shaanxi	120	250	90	30	18	90	2.589
iInner mongolia	200	50	110	15	10	110	7.256**
Ningxia	80	200	40	20	25	40	11.657**
Gansu	100	150	70	28	20	75	2.968
Qinghai	40	30	30	40	8	30	0.857

Note: ** indicates significant difference ($p < 0.01$).

The findings presented in **Table 4** shed light on the intricate interplay between land use changes and their consequential impact on carbon footprints across different provinces. The table articulates the magnitude of alterations in land use, specifically focusing on forest to urban conversion and agricultural expansion, and correlates these changes with shifts in carbon footprints. Notably, Inner Mongolia emerges as a province undergoing the most extensive land use changes, with a total transformation of 30,000 hectares. This transformation includes a substantial conversion of forest to urban areas and expansion of agricultural activities [23]. Such profound alterations in land use are anticipated to have far-reaching consequences on carbon footprints within the region.

Table 4. Land use changes and impact on carbon footprints.

Province	Land use changes (Hectares)	Forest to urban conversion	Agricultural expansion	Carbon foot print changes
Shanxi	15,000	5000	8000	25
Henan	20,500	7500	9500	30
Shaanxi	10,200	3200	5500	18
iInner mongolia	30,000	10,000	15,000	40
Ningxia	8500	2500	4000	15
Gansu	12,800	4800	6200	22
Qinghai	5000	1500	2800	10
Total	102,000	34,500	51,000	160

Henan and Shanxi stand out due to their substantial carbon footprint changes, registering 30 and 25 units, respectively. The significance of these figures becomes apparent when considering the pronounced forest to urban conversion and agricultural expansion observed in both provinces [24]. These results underscore the intricate relationship between land use modifications and the resulting carbon footprints.

The cumulative totals for land use changes provide a comprehensive overview of the collective impact on carbon footprints across all provinces. The extensive land use alterations, encompassing 102,000 hectares, with 34,500 hectares dedicated to forest to urban conversion and 51,000 hectares to agricultural expansion, correspond to a total carbon footprint change of 160 units. In essence, these findings underscore the critical importance of understanding and incorporating land use changes into assessments of carbon footprints at the provincial level [25]. The observed patterns highlight the need for nuanced strategies that consider the dynamic relationship between land use modifications and their implications for carbon emissions, emphasizing the significance of sustainable land management practices.

Table 5 presents a diverse array of proposed strategies for achieving low-carbon transformation, spanning multiple sectors and implementation timelines. These strategies, ranging from renewable energy expansion to waste-to-energy conversion, showcase a comprehensive approach to addressing carbon emissions [26]. The proposed timeline for each strategy, along with the estimated carbon reduction impact, provides a strategic roadmap for policymakers and stakeholders. Key strategies include a significant focus on renewable energy expansion (Strategy ID 1) with an estimated carbon reduction of 50 million metric tons by 2030, afforestation and reforestation initiatives (Strategy ID 2) contributing to a reduction of 30 million metric tons by 2035, and sustainable agriculture practices (Strategy ID 3) with an anticipated carbon reduction of 25 million metric tons by 2030. These strategies underscore the importance of sector-specific interventions in achieving substantial carbon reduction targets. Urban development is addressed through green building standards and improved planning (Strategy ID 4), targeting a carbon reduction of 15 million metric tons by 2035. Transportation electrification (Strategy ID 5) and industrial energy efficiency improvements (Strategy ID 6) also play pivotal roles, each aiming for carbon reductions of 20 and 40 million metric tons, respectively.

Table 5. Proposed strategies for low-carbon transformation.

Strategy ID	Proposed Strategy	Sectoral Focus	Implementation timeline	Estimated carbon Reduction (million metric tons)
1	Renewable Energy Expansion	Energy	2023–2030	50
2	Afforestation and Reforestation	Forestry	2022–2035	30
3	Sustainable Agriculture Practices	Agriculture	2022–2030	25
4	Green Building Standards and Urban Planning	Urban Development	2023–2035	15
5	Electrification of Transportation	Transportation	2022–2030	20
6	Industrial Energy Efficiency Improvements	Industry	2022–2030	40
7	Waste-to-Energy Conversion	Waste management	2023–2035	10
8	Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) Implementation	Energy/Industry	2024–2040	60
9	Public Awareness and Education on Low-Carbon Lifestyles	Public Engagement	Ongoing	N/A
10	Incentives for Low-Carbon Technologies Adoption	Policy and Government	Ongoing	N/A

Waste management strategies, including waste-to-energy conversion (Strategy ID 7), are projected to contribute to a reduction of 10 million metric tons by 2035. The implementation of Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) (Strategy ID 8) is identified as a crucial measure, spanning both the energy and industry sectors and aiming for a substantial carbon reduction of 60 million metric tons by 2040. Strategies beyond specific sectors include public awareness and education on low-carbon lifestyles (Strategy ID 9) and incentives for low-carbon technologies adoption (Strategy ID 10). Both have an ongoing implementation approach, emphasizing the continuous nature of public engagement and policy incentives in fostering a low-carbon society.

Certain strategies are more effective in specific provinces, depending on their economic structure and natural conditions. In Shanxi and Henan, where carbon emissions from heavy industry are high and coal resources are heavily relied upon, the expansion of renewable energy and improvements in industrial energy efficiency are particularly crucial. By substituting clean energy and enhancing energy efficiency, carbon emissions can be significantly reduced. Inner Mongolia and Ningxia, which are primarily agricultural, are well-suited for promoting sustainable agriculture and afforestation. Reducing fertilizer use and large-scale afforestation can not only lower agricultural carbon emissions but also increase carbon sequestration. In Gansu and Qinghai, where transportation emissions are higher, strategies such as transportation electrification and waste-to-energy conversion hold significant potential, effectively reducing carbon emissions from both transportation and waste management. Carbon capture and storage (CCS) technology is applicable in all regions, particularly in energy- and industry-intensive provinces, where it can substantially reduce industrial carbon emissions. By employing targeted strategies, each province can more effectively achieve its carbon reduction goals.

In summary, **Table 5** provides a strategic roadmap for low-carbon transformation, offering a nuanced and sector-specific approach. The proposed strategies, with their respective timelines and estimated carbon reduction impacts, serve as valuable tools for policymakers and stakeholders aiming to implement effective and sustainable measures to combat climate change.

Table 6 provides a detailed examination of socio-economic indicators and environmental impact scores for several provinces. The indicators encompass GDP per capita, unemployment rates, education indices, and health indices, offering a holistic perspective on the provinces' economic well-being and environmental impact [27]. Inner Mongolia stands out with the highest GDP per capita, while Qinghai demonstrates the lowest unemployment rate and the highest scores in both education and health indices. Gansu, on the other hand, exhibits the lowest GDP per capita, the highest unemployment rate, and lower education and health indices. The environmental impact scores align with these patterns, with Qinghai having the highest score and Gansu the lowest [28].

Table 6. Socio-economic indicators and environmental impact assessment.

Province	GDP per capita (USD)	Unemployment Rate (%)	Education Index (0–1)	Health Index (0–1)	Environment Impact Score (1–100)
Shanxi	12,000	5.2	0.75	0.85	60
Henan	10,500	6.6	0.68	0.78	55
Shaanxi	13,200	4.5	0.8	0.88	65
Inner Mongolia	15,500	3.2	0.72	0.8	70
Ningxia	14,800	4	0.78	0.82	68
Gansu	11,300	7.5	0.65	0.75	50
Qinghai	16,000	2.8	0.85	0.9	75

The data highlights the intricate interplay between economic prosperity, social well-being, and environmental impact. Provinces with higher economic indicators and education and health scores do not uniformly exhibit lower environmental impact, emphasizing the importance of region-specific environmental practices [29]. These findings underscore the need for targeted policies that balance economic development with environmental conservation [30]. Policymakers can use this information to formulate strategies that promote sustainable development, considering the unique socio-economic and environmental dynamics of each province.

This comprehensive analysis in **Table 6** serves as a valuable tool for stakeholders aiming to make informed decisions about resource allocation, policy formulation, and sustainable development initiatives in these provinces.

7. Conclusion

In summary, the analysis of "Low-Carbon Transformation and Ecological Safeguarding in the Yellow River Basin: Integrating Biomechanical and Biological Insights" presents a comprehensive understanding of the region's dynamics. The study investigates factors contributing to carbon emissions, explores the impact of land use changes, proposes a diverse set of strategies for low-carbon transformation, and integrates socio-economic indicators with environmental assessments. By drawing parallels with biological systems, the study highlights how natural ecosystems, much like organisms, adapt to external pressures through efficient resource use, regeneration, and resilience. These biological insights help underscore the importance of optimizing energy and resource allocation in the basin to ensure both economic vitality and ecological stability.

These findings provide a baseline for informed policy decisions aimed at mitigating carbon emissions in the Yellow River Basin. Just as biomechanical systems adjust and distribute forces to prevent structural failure, policymakers can utilize this data to design and implement effective, targeted measures that address the major contributors to carbon emissions in each province. This dynamic process of managing emissions is similar to how biological systems maintain homeostasis, balancing internal processes to adapt to changing external conditions.

The study emphasizes the importance of a holistic approach that considers both sectoral and regional nuances for sustainable and impactful carbon reduction strategies. Incorporating biomechanical principles into this approach offers a deeper understanding of how systems, whether biological or ecological, respond to stress and optimize performance. By mirroring the adaptive capacities found in nature, strategies for the Yellow River Basin can enhance resilience to environmental pressures while supporting long-term sustainability.

The findings emphasize the need for tailored, region-specific approaches that balance economic development with ecological preservation. This study contributes valuable insights to the discourse on sustainable development and serves as a model for future research and policy initiatives in climate change mitigation and ecological resilience in vulnerable regions. Integrating biomechanical and biological insights offers a unique perspective on how nature's inherent systems can inspire more efficient, adaptive strategies for both human and environmental well-being.

The impact of climate change on low-carbon transition strategies is particularly significant. In the Yellow River Basin, extreme weather events and rising temperatures may increase energy demand, affecting agricultural production and the stability of infrastructure. Higher temperatures can lead to increased energy consumption in the summer, weakening the effectiveness of low-carbon strategies. Through technological innovation, especially with accelerated research and development in the renewable energy sector, the fluctuations in energy demand can be addressed. Policy adjustments can promote flexible energy management and subsidy mechanisms, enabling regions to respond swiftly to climate change and adjust their energy structure. International cooperation can provide financial and technical support to ensure the adaptability and long-term resilience of strategies in facing the challenges of global climate change.

The application of interdisciplinary approaches enriches the content of research but presents challenges in practice. Theories and data types from biology, environmental science, and socioeconomics differ, making data integration complex. Biology and environmental science rely on field surveys and ecological models, while socioeconomics is based on statistical data and policy analysis. Integrating these fields requires precise modeling and interdisciplinary collaboration. Methodological conflicts may arise, especially in evaluating policy effectiveness, as different disciplines interpret environmental issues differently. Addressing these challenges necessitates a unified data-sharing platform and enhanced collaboration among interdisciplinary teams to ensure research conclusions accurately reflect the complexities of environmental and social dynamics.

Establishing long-term ecosystem health monitoring projects is crucial for the low-carbon transition in the Yellow River Basin. Ecosystem changes tend to be cumulative, and short-term data often fail to capture the full picture. A continuous monitoring system can track key indicators such as land use changes, biodiversity fluctuations, and carbon emissions. Through remote sensing technology, sensor networks, and ecological models, real-time monitoring of ecological changes can be achieved, combined with long-term socio-economic data analysis to provide more reliable support for policy-making. Long-term monitoring projects not only improve the ability to respond to ecological changes but also provide critical data support for future ecological restoration and climate adaptation strategies.

Author contributions: Conceptualization, YZ and YW; methodology, YZ; software, YZ; validation, YZ and YW; formal analysis, YZ; investigation, YW; resources, YZ; data curation, YZ; writing—original draft preparation, YZ; writing—review and editing, YZ; visualization, YZ; supervision, YW; project administration, YW; funding acquisition, YZ. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Ethical approval: Not applicable.

Conflict of interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Ge J, Hu Y. A Historical Survey of the Yellow River and the River Civilizations. Springer Singapore; 2021.
2. Raihan A. Toward sustainable and green development in Chile: Dynamic influences of carbon emission reduction variables. Innovation and Green Development. 2023; 2(2): 100038. doi: 10.1016/j.igd.2023.100038
3. Antwi-Agyei P, Dougill AJ, Agyekum TP, et al. Alignment between nationally determined contributions and the sustainable development goals for West Africa. Climate Policy. 2018; 18(10): 1296-1312. doi: 10.1080/14693062.2018.1431199
4. Balogun AL, Marks D, Sharma R, et al. Assessing the Potentials of Digitalization as a Tool for Climate Change Adaptation and Sustainable Development in Urban Centres. Sustainable Cities and Society. 2020; 53: 101888. doi: 10.1016/j.scs.2019.101888
5. Koh T, Lye LH, Lum S. Peace with Nature: 50 Inspiring Essays on Nature and The Environment. World Scientific. 2023. doi: 10.1142/13561
6. Brown E, Campbell B, Cloke J, et al. Sustaining Natural Resources in a Changing Environment. Routledge; 2020. pp. 112-127.

7. Meraj G, Singh SK, Kanga S, et al. Modeling on comparison of ecosystem services concepts, tools, methods and their ecological-economic implications: a review. Modeling Earth Systems and Environment. 2021; 8(1): 15-34. doi: 10.1007/s40808-021-01131-6
8. Hawkins J, Ma C, Schilizzi S, et al. Promises and pitfalls in environmentally extended input–output analysis for China: A survey of the literature. Energy Economics. 2015; 48: 81-88. doi: 10.1016/j.eneco.2014.12.002
9. Tan Y, Xu H, Zhang X. Sustainable urbanization in China: A comprehensive literature review. Cities. 2016; 55: 82-93. doi: 10.1016/j.cities.2016.04.002
10. He P, Baiocchi G, Hubacek K, et al. The environmental impacts of rapidly changing diets and their nutritional quality in China. Nature Sustainability. 2018; 1(3): 122-127. doi: 10.1038/s41893-018-0035-y
11. Xue L, Liu X, Lu S, et al. China's food loss and waste embodies increasing environmental impacts. Nature Food. 2021; 2(7): 519-528. doi: 10.1038/s43016-021-00317-6
12. Rwanga SS, Ndambuki JM. Accuracy Assessment of Land Use/Land Cover Classification Using Remote Sensing and GIS. International Journal of Geosciences. 2017; 08(04): 611-622. doi: 10.4236/ijg.2017.84033
13. Hammond GP, Howard HR, Rana HS. Environmental and resource burdens associated with low carbon, more electric transition pathways to 2050: Footprint components from carbon emissions and land use to waste arisings and water consumption. Global Transitions. 2019; 1: 28-43. doi: 10.1016/j.glt.2019.01.001
14. Lai S, Lu J, Luo X, et al. Carbon emission evaluation model and carbon reduction strategies for newly urbanized areas. Sustainable Production and Consumption. 2022; 31: 13-25. doi: 10.1016/j.spc.2022.01.026
15. Peeters LJM, Holland KL, Huddlestone-Holmes C, et al. A spatial causal network approach for multi-stressor risk analysis and mapping for environmental impact assessments. Science of The Total Environment. 2022; 802: 149845. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.149845
16. Lee SY, Lee IB, Han J. Design under uncertainty of carbon capture, utilization and storage infrastructure considering profit, environmental impact, and risk preference. Applied Energy. 2019; 238: 34-44. doi: 10.1016/j.apenergy.2019.01.058
17. Wang S, Sun P, Sun H, et al. Spatiotemporal Variations of Carbon Emissions and Their Driving Factors in the Yellow River Basin. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2022; 19(19): 12884. doi: 10.3390/ijerph191912884
18. Wang X, Zhang L, Qin Y, et al. Analysis of China's Manufacturing Industry Carbon Lock-In and Its Influencing Factors. Sustainability. 2020; 12(4): 1502. doi: 10.3390/su12041502
19. Dierx JAJ, Kasper HDP. The magnitude and importance of perceived health dimensions define effective tailor-made health-promoting interventions per targeted socioeconomic group. Frontiers in Public Health. 2022; 10. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2022.849013
20. Zhang Q, Li J, Li Y, et al. Coupling analysis and driving factors between carbon emission intensity and high-quality economic development: Evidence from the Yellow River Basin, China. Journal of Cleaner Production. 2023; 423: 138831. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.138831
21. Galvani AP, Bauch CT, Anand M, et al. Human–environment interactions in population and ecosystem health. The National Academy of Sciences. 2016; 113(51): 14502-14506. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1618138113
22. Guo Y, Hou Z, Fang Y, et al. Forecasting and Scenario Analysis of Carbon Emissions in Key Industries: A Case Study in Henan Province, China. Energies. 2023; 16(20): 7103. doi: 10.3390/en16207103
23. Kassa H, Dondeyne S, Poesen J, et al. Transition from Forest based to Cereal based Agricultural Systems: A Review of the Drivers of Land use Change and Degradation in Southwest Ethiopia. Land Degradation & Development. 2016; 28(2): 431-449. doi: 10.1002/ldr.2575
24. Su S, Xiao R, Jiang Z, et al. Characterizing landscape pattern and ecosystem service value changes for urbanization impacts at an eco-regional scale. Applied Geography. 2012; 34: 295-305. doi: 10.1016/j.apgeog.2011.12.001
25. Han X, Qu P, Wu J, et al. Research on the Spatial Pattern of Carbon Emissions and Differentiated Peak Paths at the County Level in Shandong Province, China. Sustainability. 2023; 15(18): 13520. doi: 10.3390/su151813520
26. Ali J, Rasheed T, Afreen M, et al. Modalities for conversion of waste to energy—Challenges and perspectives. Science of The Total Environment. 2020; 727: 138610. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138610
27. Barrington-Leigh C, Escande A. Measuring Progress and Well-Being: A Comparative Review of Indicators. Social Indicators Research. 2016; 135(3): 893-925. doi: 10.1007/s11205-016-1505-0

28. Li Z, Tian J, Ya Q, et al. Interpretation and Spatiotemporal Analysis of Terraces in the Yellow River Basin Based on Machine Learning. Sustainability. 2023; 15(21): 15607. doi: 10.3390/su152115607
29. Jeuland M, Fetter TR, Li Y, et al. Is energy the golden thread? A systematic review of the impacts of modern and traditional energy use in low and middle-income countries. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews. 2021; 135: 110406. doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2020.110406
30. Bai H, Li N. Exploring Green Economic Efficiency Trends in Dominant Chinese Urban Agglomerations: A Super-Efficient SBM Model Approach. Journal of the Knowledge Economy. 2023. doi: 10.1007/s13132-023-01494-4