

Review

# The evolution of sustainable economic development: Trends, trade-offs and trajectories for balanced economic activities

Xi Wang<sup>1,\*</sup>, Jie Zheng<sup>2</sup><sup>1</sup> Culture, Creativity and Management, School of Culture and Creativity, BNU-HKBU United International College, Zhuhai 519085, Guangdong Province, China<sup>2</sup> Dept. Apparel, Events, and Hospitality Management, College of Human Sciences, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011-1078, U.S.\* **Corresponding author:** Xi Wang, [xiwang@uic.edu.cn](mailto:xiwang@uic.edu.cn)

## CITATION

Wang X, Zheng J. The evolution of sustainable economic development: Trends, trade-offs and trajectories for balanced economic activities. *Sustainable Economies*. 2024; 2(1): 12.  
<https://doi.org/10.62617/se.v2i1.12>

## ARTICLE INFO

Received: 27 November 2023

Accepted: 5 January 2024

Available online: 5 February 2024

## COPYRIGHT



Copyright © 2024 by author(s). *Sustainable Economies* is published by Sin-Chn Scientific Press Pte. Ltd. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license.  
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

**Abstract:** This study presents a systematic examination of the paradigm shift towards mechanisms facilitating sustainable economic development, which has been instigated by the recognized deficiencies in conventional growth models pertaining to social, environmental, and economic dimensions. The discourse encompasses critical topics, including sustainable growth policies, environmental economics, sustainable entrepreneurship, poverty reduction strategies, green marketing and branding, and sustainable tourism. While discernible progress has been achieved, persistent challenges in areas such as inequality, climate change, resource management, and poverty necessitate further scrutiny. Future research endeavors may delve into understanding the motivations shaping sustainable development mechanisms, refining metrics to assess the impact of environmental entrepreneurship, and formulating strategies to address the intricate challenges associated with multifaceted poverty reduction. This shift towards balanced economic activities that promote environmental and social wellbeing is indispensable. Systematic, sustainable transformation encompassing governance, empowerment, renewable energy, and investments aligned with socio-ecological goals is imperative. And the impetus for sustainability integration is currently propelled by technological innovation, evolving consumer preferences, and widespread adoption across diverse sectors. This evolution signifies a collaborative trajectory to strike a delicate balance between economic growth and ecological limits for an equitable and sustainable development mechanism in the future.

**Keywords:** sustainable economic; sustainable development; environmental economic; environmental entrepreneurship; green marketing; green business

## 1. Introduction

The notion of sustainable economic development signifies a substantial paradigm shift in our approach to growth and progress. It is a response to the crucial challenges posed by traditional development models that frequently prioritize economic growth at the cost of environmental health and social equity [1]. It integrates economic, environmental, and social considerations, aiming for a balance of long-term sustainability and fairness [2].

Historically, the industrial revolution ushered in a period of rapid economic development characterized by technological innovation, mass production, and urbanization [3]. While this era brought about advancements and wealth, it also gave rise to considerable environmental degradation, resource depletion, and social disparities. For instance, in the decade since 2010, the global net loss of forests averaged 4.7 million hectares per year, with deforestation rates even higher at 10

million hectares annually [4]. The economic models of this period, primarily centered on industrial growth, largely overlooked the environmental costs and social implications of their activities. As the late 20th century unfolded, the detrimental effects of these practices became increasingly apparent, manifesting in global environmental crises such as climate change, biodiversity loss, and widespread pollution. Concurrently, social inequality and persistent poverty increased, affecting approximately 3.2 billion people, or 40% of the global population, primarily due to land degradation.

The concept of sustainable economic development mechanisms encompasses a wide array of critical topics that revolve around fostering economic growth while also addressing social and environmental sustainability. As achieving sustainable development necessitates balancing economic progress with ecological preservation and social equity, this field of study is critical for understanding and addressing the challenges and opportunities faced by organizations in a rapidly changing global business landscape. In accordance with the findings of prior research, noteworthy research topics include sustainable and environmental economics, poverty alleviation, social and environmental entrepreneurship, natural resource management, innovation and technology for sustainability, green marketing and sustainable branding, green finance and investment, sustainable business models, sustainable tourism, and sustainable hospitality. These studies provide valuable insights for academia, industry, and policymakers alike, and your expertise in these areas will contribute significantly to advancing knowledge and best practices.

The response to these challenges was the emergence of the concept of sustainable development, which profoundly influenced economic theories and practices. The Brundtland Report of 1987 and subsequent global summits, such as the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development (UNCED) in 1992, underscored the necessity of an integrated approach to development, considering the long-term impacts on the planet and its inhabitants [5]. As a notable illustration of the practical implementation of Agenda 21 posted by the UNCED, Freiburg, Germany stands out for its dedicated approach to sustainability in urban planning. The city has demonstrated this commitment through substantial investments in renewable energy, the adoption of green building practices, and the establishment of an extensive public transportation system. These proactive measures harmonize seamlessly with the fundamental principles of sustainable development delineated in Agenda [6]. An additional illustration of adherence to the principles outlined in the Rio Declaration on Environment and Development can be found in South Africa's establishment of Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations. These regulations mandate the conduct of EIAs for proposed activities with potential environmental impacts, thereby aligning with the Rio Declaration's core tenet that assessments should be conducted for activities expected to exert a substantial adverse impact on the environment [7].

## **2. Topics of sustainable economic, development, and mechanism**

In recent years, the concept of sustainable economic development has undergone a transformative shift from theory to practical implementation across diverse sectors [8]. This evolution is propelled by technological advancements, evolving consumer

preferences, and a growing acknowledgment of the intricate interplay between economic activities and environmental sustainability [9]. For instance, technological innovation stands out as a driving force, facilitating more sustainable production and consumption patterns and significantly mitigating environmental impacts. A case in point is the strategic integration of various technological approaches within a sizable organization, as exemplified by the Boston Consulting Group (BCG), where reductions in emissions ranging from 45% to 70% are achievable through the amalgamation of process automation, carbon data transparency, circular product or service design, and sustainable business models [10]. Another illustration is provided by a European minerals company that achieved a noteworthy 5% to 10% reduction in energy consumption, poised to result in annual cost savings ranging from €8 million to €9 million through the implementation of digitally enabled energy solutions [10].

Furthermore, the heightened visibility of climate change impacts and resource constraints has prompted governments and international organizations to prioritize sustainability in their policymaking endeavors. This commitment is evident in initiatives such as the Paris Agreement and the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). As a result, the integration of sustainability considerations into policy frameworks reflects a global recognition of the imperative to address environmental challenges and promote resilient economic development.

In the dynamic landscape of sustainable economic development, several pivotal topics come to the forefront. Central to this discourse is the concept of sustainable economic growth, emphasizing activities that are both environmentally viable and socially inclusive. This emphasis is exemplified by high-growth emerging economies, which have significantly alleviated global poverty and elevated income levels. Armeanu et al.'s [11] study on sustainable economic growth in EU-28 countries underscores the essential factors for countries to achieve sustainable development goals. These include the promotion of accessible and high-quality education, the mitigation of corruption, and the judicious management of technological advancements.

Likewise, environmental economics offers insights into the economic ramifications of environmental policies, as evidenced by the U.S. Clean Air and Clean Water Acts. These policies have successfully improved environmental quality while introducing substantial costs and industry-specific challenges [12]. Jackson's [13] investigation advocates for a profound reconsideration of the economic system to align it with social and environmental objectives. It posits that affluent nations must assume a leadership role in steering the transition towards sustainability. Concurrently, the vision of sustainable development necessitates an expanded understanding of human behavior and the fortification of institutions and investments geared towards social goals. This holistic approach underscores the interconnectedness of economic, social, and environmental factors in achieving a sustainable and resilient future.

The research focuses on social, environmental, and sustainable entrepreneurship, aiming to assess whether it aligns with the concept of "sustainability as flourishing", representing an ideal state where life thrives on Earth indefinitely [14]. An analysis of entrepreneurship research reveals certain limitations in capturing these requisites, particularly in the realm of environmental entrepreneurship, which often neglects considerations of social justice or reflection. Despite an overarching focus on non-

monetary outcomes, much of the existing research still tends to prioritize economic value over social and environmental dimensions.

Sustainable entrepreneurship, as commonly defined, involves the pursuit of entrepreneurial opportunities that address socio-ecological problems through innovative solutions that benefit society, the environment, and the economy. Key concepts encompass the pursuit of the “triple bottom line”, recognition of sustainable opportunities, the development of sustainable ventures, and the transformation of markets toward sustainability [15]. Emphasizing the simultaneous creation of economic and ecological value, sustainable entrepreneurship seeks to address environmentally relevant market failures [16]. Noteworthy examples such as Helpsy in sustainable fashion, Matr Boomie empowering Indian artisans, BANGS Shoes investing in global entrepreneurs, the Anchal Project’s promotion of artisan employment, and Brightly’s ethical consumer goods platform underscore the transformative impact of such entrepreneurial endeavors.

Simultaneously, social and environmental entrepreneurship drives innovative solutions for societal and ecological challenges. Environmental entrepreneurship, for instance, generates economic and ecological value, spurred by both regulatory requirements and emerging green opportunities [16]. However, key challenges persist, including the delicate balance between the financial sustainability of ventures and their environmental mission over time, the measurement of impact, and an understanding of the motivations of ecopreneurs in comparison to traditional entrepreneurs [16]. While factors such as policies and institutions undoubtedly play significant roles, as indicated by Asadi et al. [17], there is a growing recognition of the crucial role of social capital, encompassing relationships, norms, and trust, in driving development, sustainability, and poverty reduction.

A critical area of focus, poverty alleviation aims to mitigate economic disparities. China’s successful strategy of lifting 800 million people out of poverty serves as a noteworthy example, leveraging both broad-based economic growth and targeted support [18]. The impetus for poverty alleviation gained momentum with the 1987 Brundtland Report and the 1992 Rio Earth Summit, striving for sustainable economic development while concurrently addressing social equity and environmental preservation. From a policy perspective, goals in poverty alleviation encompass eradication, reduction of ecological footprints, and management of global commons [19].

Progress has been achieved in certain facets, such as GDP growth and poverty reduction, but persistent challenges include inequality, environmental degradation, climate change, and food security. Achieving sustainable development mandates a transformative approach to institutions and economic systems, necessitating an integrated focus on renewable energy, innovation, education, governance, and the empowerment of individuals [19]. In a strategic vein, the investigation conducted by Hart et al. [20] delves into strategies and business models for companies embracing the bottom of the pyramid (BoP) approach to poverty alleviation. The study recommends decentralized organizational structures, dedicated BoP business units, flexibility, and collaborative efforts with local partners to co-create new value propositions tailored to BoP customers. This underscores the importance of innovative

and adaptive approaches in addressing the multifaceted challenges associated with poverty alleviation.

Moreover, businesses that actively engage in green marketing and sustainable branding are not merely following a market trend but are integral participants in a broader movement towards environmental stewardship. As delineated by Singh and Pandey [21], green marketing functions as a strategic approach adopted by companies to appeal to environmentally conscious consumers and contribute to sustainable development. The concept encompasses critical elements such as green products, corporate social responsibility, and sustainable business practices.

The keys to success in green marketing, as indicated by Singh and Pandey [21], encompass a profound understanding of customer awareness, the empowerment of consumers to effect positive change, transparency regarding environmental claims, assurance of product quality and performance, and the establishment of appropriate pricing strategies. The green marketing mix, encapsulated by the 4 Ps, involves sustainable products, reasonable pricing, eco-friendly promotions, and convenient yet environmentally responsible distribution.

Companies substantiate their commitment to sustainability through tangible actions, such as The Body Shop's longstanding advocacy against animal testing, which has been an integral part of its business model since 1989 [22]. Similarly, the furniture giant Ikea has pledged to become climate-positive by 2030, with the aim of removing more carbon dioxide from the atmosphere than it emits [23]. Likewise, Lego has set ambitious goals to produce all core products from sustainable materials by 2030 and use only renewable or recycled materials for all packaging by 2025 [24]. These initiatives not only underscore the dedication of these companies to sustainability but also play a crucial role in fostering brand loyalty among environmentally conscious consumers, thereby differentiating them in a competitive market.

The significance of sustainable tourism and hospitality has grown as the industry seeks models that are both sustainable and beneficial for local communities, emphasizing the reduction of environmental impact while maximizing social and economic benefits [25]. Within the tourism and hospitality sectors, there is an increasing adoption of sustainable business models and practices [26]. Alhowaish's [27] inquiry, focusing on Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) countries, underscores the multifaceted impacts arising from factors such as the historical evolution and structural attributes of the tourism industry. These factors play a contributing role in augmenting local GDP and fostering inter-sectoral linkages among nearby regions.

For effective assessment and management of sustainable tourism in a region, Bunakov et al. [28] propose a model comprising seven key factors: tourism assets/potential, visitor rates, economic/social/environmental costs/benefits, infrastructure, and destination attractiveness. Additionally, it is crucial to consider mixed results stemming from factors like the history and structure of the tourism industry when evaluating inter-sectoral linkages in each tourism destination [27]. As environmental concerns intensify, understanding and promoting sustainable consumer choices becomes increasingly vital for tourism and hospitality firms to meet market demand and development goals. From the tourist's perspective, drivers of sustainable consumer behavior include considerations such as a green image, daily eco-friendly

habits, environmental knowledge and attitudes, emotional factors like anticipated pride and guilt, and the perceived ability to make a positive impact [29].

Key trends in sustainable tourism encompass the rejection of greenwashing, adherence to carbon emission standards, implementation of ambitious emission reduction plans, utilization of data for actionable insights, emphasis on circular economy and sustainable resource management, reduction of food waste, alignment with the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals, proactive engagement with global environmental policies, integration of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) criteria into corporate agendas, and recognition of the importance of authenticity in sustainability efforts. These trends underscore the evolving landscape of sustainable practices within the tourism and hospitality industries.

In essence, the transition towards sustainable economic development represents a pivotal and transformative shift aimed at addressing the inherent challenges embedded in traditional growth models. This paradigm shift, vividly exemplified through global initiatives focused on poverty reduction, corporate sustainability, and technological innovation, serves as a testament to the increasing integration of environmental and social considerations within economic strategies. These diverse examples encapsulate a broader commitment to sustainable practices spanning various sectors, driven by an enlightened understanding of the intricate relationship between economic activities and the overall well-being of our world and communities.

The exploration of sustainable development underscores the profound significance of a collaborative approach that engages policymakers, industry leaders, and individuals alike. This collaborative effort emphasizes that sustainable development is not merely an alternative but an indispensable pathway toward securing the enduring welfare of the world. While acknowledging the challenges inherent in this transformative journey, it is crucial to recognize that these challenges also present opportunities for innovation and cooperation. This collective endeavor guides us toward a future characterized by sustainability and equity, encouraging us to embrace the potential for positive change on a global scale.

**Conflict of interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

1. Ota Y, Singh GG, Clark T, et al. Finding logic models for sustainable marine development that deliver on social equity. *PLOS Biology*. 2022; 20(10): e3001841. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.3001841
2. Barrier EB. The concept of sustainable economic development. In: Pezzey JCV, Toman MA (editors). *The Economics of Sustainability*. Routledge; 2017. pp. 87-96. doi: 10.4324/9781315240084-7
3. Mokyr J. Editor's introduction: The new economic history and the industrial revolution. In: Mokyr J (editors). *The British Industrial Revolution: An Economic Perspective*. Routledge; 2018. pp. 1-127. doi: 10.4324/9780429494567-1
4. Ritchie H. Deforestation and forest loss. *Our world in data*. Available online: <https://ourworldindata.org/deforestation#:~:text=Over%20the%20decade%20since%202010%2C,deforestation%20rates%20across%20the%20world> (accessed on 25 November 2023).
5. Doran P. "The earth summit" (UNCED) ecology as spectacle\*. *Paradigms*. 1993; 7(1): 55-65. doi: 10.1080/13600829308443039
6. Heller PW. Sustainable human development in a medium-sized city: the example of Freiburg, Germany. *Human Settlement Development*. 2009; 1: 174.
7. Government of South Africa. New environmental impact assessment (EIA) regulations come into effect today. *South African*

- Government. Available online: <https://www.gov.za/news/media-statements/new-environmental-impact-assessment-eia-regulations-come-effect-today-02-aug> (accessed on 26 November 2023).
8. Munasinghe M. Implementing sustainable development: a practical framework. In: Cleveland CJ, Stern DI, Costanza R (editors). *The Economics of Nature and the Nature of Economics*. Edward Elgar Publishing Limited; 2001. doi: 10.4337/9781843761419.00016
  9. Caspersen E, Navrud S. The sharing economy and consumer preferences for environmentally sustainable last mile deliveries. *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment*. 2021; 95: 102863. doi: 10.1016/j.trd.2021.102863
  10. Close K, Faure N, Hutchinson R. How tech offers a faster path to sustainability. BCG Global. Available online: <https://www.bcg.com/publications/2021/how-technology-helps-sustainability-initiatives> (accessed on 26 November 2023).
  11. Armeanu D, Vintilă G, Gherghina Ș. Empirical Study towards the Drivers of Sustainable Economic Growth in EU-28 Countries. *Sustainability*. 2017; 10(1): 4. doi: 10.3390/su10010004
  12. Raff Z, Earnhart D. The effects of Clean Water Act enforcement on environmental employment. *Resource and Energy Economics*. 2019; 57: 1-17. doi: 10.1016/j.reseneeco.2018.12.002
  13. Jackson T. Societal transformations for a sustainable economy. *Natural Resources Forum*. 2011; 35(3): 155-164. doi: 10.1111/j.1477-8947.2011.01395.x
  14. Schaefer K, Corner PD, Kearins K. Social, Environmental and Sustainable Entrepreneurship Research. *Organization & Environment*. 2015; 28(4): 394-413. doi: 10.1177/1086026615621111
  15. Binder JK, Belz FM. Sustainable entrepreneurship: What it is. In: Kyrö P (editor). *Handbook of Entrepreneurship and Sustainable Development Research*. Edward Elgar Publishing Limited; 2015. doi: 10.4337/9781849808248.00010
  16. Thompson J, Scott JM. Environmental Entrepreneurship: The Sustainability Challenge. Available online: <https://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/id/eprint/29958/1/Environmental%20entrepreneurship.pdf> (accessed on 12 December 2023).
  17. Asadi A, Akbari M, Fami HS, et al. Poverty Alleviation and Sustainable Development: The Role of Social Capital. *Journal of Social Sciences*. 2008; 4(3): 202-215. doi: 10.3844/jssp.2008.202.215
  18. Ge Y, Hu S, Song Y, et al. Sustainable poverty reduction models for the coordinated development of the social economy and environment in China. *Science Bulletin*. 2023; 68(19): 2236-2246. doi: 10.1016/j.scib.2023.08.015
  19. Montaldo CRB. *Sustainable Development Approaches for Rural Development and Poverty Alleviation & Community Capacity Building for Rural Development and Poverty Alleviation*. Yonsei University; 2013.
  20. Hart S, Sharma S, Halme M. Poverty, Business Strategy, and Sustainable Development. *Organization & Environment*. 2016; 29(4): 401-415. doi: 10.1177/1086026616677170
  21. Singh PB, Pandey KK. Green marketing: policies and practices for sustainable development. *Integral Review*. 2012; 5(1): 22-30.
  22. Warsita M, Indriastuti H. The Influence of Corporate Social Responsibility Towards Brand Image and Corporate Reputation on the Study of the Body Shop “Forever Againsts Animal Testing” Campaign. *International Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting Research (IJEBAR)*. 2021; 5(2): 84-98.
  23. Saif M. 2050 Sustainability Vision of the Packaging in European Furniture Industry: A Case Study of a Leading Brand. *IKEA*; 2023.
  24. Simon E. Plastics from a whole planet perspective. *Field Actions Science Reports*. *The Journal of Field Actions*. 2019; Special Issue 19: 36-41.
  25. Hardy A, Beeton RJS, Pearson L. Sustainable Tourism: An Overview of the Concept and its Position in Relation to Conceptualisations of Tourism. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*. 2002; 10(6): 475-496. doi: 10.1080/09669580208667183
  26. Buffa F, Franch M, Rizio D. Environmental management practices for sustainable business models in small and medium sized hotel enterprises. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. 2018; 194: 656-664. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.05.143
  27. Alhawaish A. Is Tourism Development a Sustainable Economic Growth Strategy in the Long Run? Evidence from GCC Countries. *Sustainability*. 2016; 8(7): 605. doi: 10.3390/su8070605
  28. Bunakov OA, Zaitseva NA, Larionova AA, et al. Research on the Evolution of Management Concepts of Sustainable Tourism and Hospitality Development in the Regions. *Journal of Sustainable Development*. 2015; 8(6). doi: 10.5539/jsd.v8n6p39
  29. Han H. Consumer behavior and environmental sustainability in tourism and hospitality: a review of theories, concepts, and latest research. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*. 2021; 29(7): 1021-1042. doi: 10.1080/09669582.2021.1903019